
REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on February 19, 2010. Applicants thank Examiner for his thorough examination of the pending application.

Claim 8 is amended. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 and 15-21 were allowed. Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowability of claims 1-7 and 15-21.

35 USC § 101 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 8-14 were rejected under 35 USC § 101 because the claimed invention was indicated to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants have amended claim 8, as suggested in the Official Action. The preamble of claim 8, as amended, recites: “a machine readable tangible storage medium containing instructions, which when executed...”. Support for this amendment may be found in the application-as-filed, e.g., on page 18, lines 6-10. Applicants respectfully submit that “tangible” excludes intangible transitory media. Claims 9-14 depend from claim 8. Applicants therefore request that these rejections be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant’s attorney (603-668-6560) to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-4238.

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116

Serial Number: 10/583,952

Filing Date: June 20, 2006

Title: **STRUCTURAL CONTENT FILTRATION OF HYPOTHESES IN A COGNITIVE CONTROL FRAMEWORK**

Page 7
Dkt: P22633

Respectfully submitted,

DENIS SERGEEVICH MILOV

By his Representatives,

CUSTOMER NUMBER: 45459

Telephone Number: 603-668-6560

By /Edmund P. Pfleger/

Edmund P. Pfleger

Reg. No. 41,252